

Accuracy, precision, and bias in HFD data

Laurent Toulemon

Period and cohort fertility dynamics in the developed world. The first Human Fertility Database Symposium 3-4 November 2011

Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Rostock, Germany

Session V: Methods for producing more detailed fertility data and data quality questions (Chair: Dmitri Jdanov, MPIDR)

Accuracy, precision, and bias in HFD data



• Accuracy of input data

→Births total and population size

- →Age, birth order, parity
- Precision

→Lexis triangles

→Population by parity

• Bias in HFD

→Undercount in census

Conclusion

Accuracy of input data



- Births total
 - →Country definition
 - Mainland France; there are 3.9% more births and 3.0% additional population in official French statistics
 - →Birth definition
 - Stillbirths are not to be included
 - But "false stillbirths" (a birth followed by a death before registration) should be included
 - 3,500 births per year, 1946-74; 0,4% births missing in France
 - Data are available at Insee

Accuracy of input data



- Births total
 - →Yearly number of births in France, including false stillbirths (dotted curve, right scale) in the HMD
- Somebody at Insee (Fabienne Daguet) has collected these data
 - →False stillbirths by year and age (and cohort)
- The HFD project is a unique opportunity to publish them
- Other countries?



Accuracy of input data



• Population size

→Census data, population estimates

- →Interpolation between censuses
- \rightarrow OK to use HMD population data?
- Age, birth order, parity
 - Missing ages at delivery are imputed (proportionally)
 - →Birth order and women's parity are also imputed proportionally (for each age)



- Birth order
 - →How many births have missing birth order?
 - →Is a proportional imputation accurate? Births without order may be more than proportionally out-of-wedlock births, thus more likely of low order
 - →Overall quality of birth order data?
 - Too bad in France to be considered. What about other countries?
- Parity
 - →Question on children ever born or number of children living in the household?
 - →Similar question than for birth order: who are the women for whom no information on parity is not available and has been imputed?

Precision of HFD data



• Lexis triangles

→A change in seasonality introduces a bias in the share between Lower and Upper triangles

→On the left, no change; on the right, a change





→On the right, more births in Lower triangles
→See next slide, current situation in France

Lexis triangles: births in France, 2009



Seasonality of births (mean=100), France, 1979 and 2009



Age-specific number of births, upper and lower triangles





- The exposures are estimated with taking seasonality into account
- It would thus be more consistent to do the same for the births (eq. 4.8 and 4.9)
- The difference may be negligible if the original data, as well as the output results, are "ageperiod" based
- But not if they are "period-cohort" based (age reached during the year)

Precision of HFD data



• Birth order: categories 1-5+

→Important to estimate distribution by number of births, including categories 3, 4+

→ Higher order births and higher parities?

- Population distribution by parity
 - The choice has been made to be consistent with cumulated rates by cohort
 - →Some bias may be introduced if migrations vary with parity (e.g. childless women emigrate)
 - →Can more accurate data be obtained from censuses?
 - →Use several "golden censuses" and interpolate?



- Undercounting of births
 - →Very unlikely and minor (false stillbirths)
- Undercounting of women in censuses
 - The HMD census-based data are accurate, updated and documented
 - →But the censuses often underestimate the population size (undercount)
 - →This of minor importance for mortality, compared to fertility (next slide)



- Assume a 2% population undercount at census, similar in magnitude for all sex and age groups
 The mortality rates are overestimated by 2%
 - →Life expectancy at birth is downward biased of 0,25 year
 - as mortality is increasing by some 8% by year of age
 - A 0.3% relative bias in e_0 ,
 - comparable to the annual increase in life expectancy
 - →The fertility rates are overestimated by 2%
 - The TFR is upward biased by 0.04 children per woman
 - A 2.0% relative bias in TFR
 - twice the annual mean increase since 15 years in France

Bias in Human Fertility Database



- An estimate based on the French 1990 post-census enumeration survey
 - →The bias could be larger because undercounts are larger for adults
 - →On the contrary, undercounting may be less severe for women in fertile ages
- Should the census data be corrected?



Sources: INSEE, Demographic situation in 1999; authors' calculations.

* Follow-up survey of 1990 [3] and assessment by INSEE's demography department [6].

Conclusion (1/3)



Many methodological points have been fixed in the new Methods protocol

 \rightarrow An impressive success!

- Strengths of the Human Mortality Database
 Availability, quality, documentation of data
 Population estimates
- A good incentive to make hidden information explicit and available

→French data on false stillbirths at Insee, probably similar "hidden" data in other countries

→Not only dissemination, but data building process

Conclusion (2/3)



- Potential improvements on HFD
 - →Accurate population data by parity
 - Quality of answers in the censuses
 - Take migrations into account
 - Higher parities to be considered
 - →Assessment on census data quality
 - Alternative population estimates?
 - →Triangles and seasonality of births
- Other data?

→Strong added value, compared to survey data
→Human fertility collection

Conclusion (3/3)



- The Human fertility collection (HFC)
 - \rightarrow Countries where data are not easily available or not accurate (e.g. birth order and parity in France)
 - \rightarrow Alternative data sources: large scale surveys
- Additional variables (for HFC)
 - →Duration since previous birth
 - \rightarrow conjugal situation, marital status
 - \rightarrow professional activity
 - \rightarrow Level of education, "race", social group
 - \rightarrow Urban/rural, sub-national geographical level data
 - \rightarrow Male fertility
 - $\rightarrow \dots$

How to proceed? (see next roundtable) HFD symposium, 4-11-2011

The end



Thank you

Laurent Toulemon, toulemon@ined.fr

HFD symposium, 4-11-2011

Laurent Toulemon. Accuracy, precision and bias in HFD data